

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/733,295	Applicant(s) OHNISHI, SHINJI	
	Examiner LIN LIU	Art Unit 2445	

All Participants:

(1) LIN LIU.

(2) Edward Kmett.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

7, 11 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The main inventive features of the present application were discussed with Applicant's representative Edward Kmett (42,746). Applicant's representative Edward Kmett agreed with examiner and permits for the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)